

MEROMORPHIC CONTINUATION OF POWER SERIES

Petar Yankov

Abstract. In this paper, we offer a proposition enabling make an assessment of meromorphic continuation of power series by means of a specially defined polynomial $q_{n,m}(\alpha)$ using the coefficients of the Taylor series.

Key words: Meromorphic continuation, radius m-meromorphy, inverse theorem of Padé approximation

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 30B99, 41A27

Questions about meromorphic continuation of power series have interested the mathematicians for a rather long time. Already in 1892 Hadamar obtained some important results in this direction (see [4]) last 30 years these problems were again in consideration by the mathematicians due to some results obtained through Padé approximants (see [2, 3, 5]). Here we suggest a statement which gives the opportunity to estimate the meromorphic continuation of power series.

Let

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} f_n z^n$$

be an arbitrary power series and $R_0 = R_0(f)$ be the radius of convergence. If $R_0 > 0$ by $f = f(z)$ we will denote the sum of the series (1) in the disk of convergence $D_0 = \{z : |z| < R_0\}$ and the analytic function defined by the element (f, D_0) as well. In that case for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote $D_m = D_m(f)$ to be the disk of m -meromorphy of f (the maximum open disk with a center O

in which $f(z), z \in D_0$ could be continued as a meromorphic function, having no more than m poles, taking into account their multiplicities), and let $R_m = R_m(f)$ be the radius of D_m . As usual C will be the complex plain.

Let $\Delta_{n,m}(f)$ be the determinant

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{n,m}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} f_{n-m+1} & f_{n-m+2} & \cdots & f_n \\ f_{n-m+1} & f_{n-m+2} & \cdots & f_n \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_{n-m+1} & f_{n-m+2} & \cdots & f_n \end{vmatrix}, \quad \Delta_{n,0}(f) = 1,$$

($f_{-k} = 0, k \in N$).

On the assumption that $\Delta_{n,m}(f) \neq 0$ ($n \geq n_0, m \in N$) we put

$$(3) \quad q_{n,m}(\alpha) = q_{n,m}(\alpha, f) = (-1)^m \frac{\Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)}{\Delta_{n,m}(f)}$$

Let us mark that $q_{n,m}(\alpha)$ is polynomial of m degree with a coefficient in front of the highest degree 1, whose zeros we shall denote with $\alpha_{n,j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$, i.e.

$$(4) \quad q_{n,m}(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^m (\alpha - \alpha_{n,j})$$

and with $P_n = \{\alpha_{n,1}, \alpha_{n,2}, \dots, \alpha_{n,m}\}$ we shall denote the set of zeros of this polynomial.

If $\Delta_{n,m}(f) = 0$ for $n \geq n_0$, for any power series (1) and any sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$ exist a power series

$$g = g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} g_n z^n, \quad g \in \cup_\varepsilon(f),$$

such that for any nonnegative integer m and n , where

$$\cup_\varepsilon(f) = \{ g : |g_n - f_n| < \varepsilon_n, \quad \varepsilon_n : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\varepsilon_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = q, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \} \quad (0 \leq q < 1)$$

(see [6]). In such case f is replaced and g is under consideration. So in further consideration if $R_m < \infty$ we consider that the following inequality is valid

$$(5) \quad |\Delta_{n,m}(f)| \geq q_0^n \quad (q \geq q_0 > 0), \quad n \geq n_0, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It is well known that the radius of m -meromorphy of the power series (1) $R_m = R_m(f)$ is given by Hadamard's formula

$$R_m(f) = \frac{\ell_m(f)}{\ell_{m+1}(f)}$$

where $\ell_0(f) = 1$, $\ell_m(f) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\Delta_{n,m}(f)|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ (see [4]).

We will prove the following proposition:

Theorem. *If the following is satisfied*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} q_{n,m}(\alpha_i) = 0, \quad \alpha_i \in C \setminus \{0\}$$

then

$$(7) \quad 0 < R_0 \leq |\alpha_i| \leq R_m.$$

Before proving this proposition let us note that if $q_{n,m}(\alpha)$ is defined by the sequence of the coefficients of the series (1) by means of the equation (3). Then there is a polynomial $p_{n,m}(\alpha) = p_{n,m}(\alpha, f)$ such that the equality is valid

$$(q_{n,m} \cdot f - p_{n,m})(\alpha) = A_{n,m} \alpha^{n+m+1} + \dots$$

If the sequence $\{\pi_{n,m}\}$, $m \in N$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\pi_{n,m}(\alpha) = \pi_{n,m}(\alpha, f) = \frac{p_{n,m}(\alpha, f)}{q_{n,m}(\alpha, f)}$$

is convergent in the point $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, then $R_0 > 0$.

Remark 1. The function $\pi_{n,m}(\alpha) = \frac{p_{n,m}(\alpha)}{q_{n,m}(\alpha)}$ is called Padé approximation of the type (n, m) for the series (1) (see [1]).

Remark 2. The above statement is due to A. Gonchar and was proved in 1981 (see [3]).

Proof of the Theorem. We shall divide the proof of the Theorem in three cases.

Case 1. We shall prove that if (6) is valid then $R_0 > 0$.

Let us presume that $R_0 = 0$. Then

$$\ell_m(f) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\Delta_{n,m}(f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\Delta_{n,m-1}(f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0.$$

But $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\Delta_{n,m-1}(f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0$ is impossible to be valid, because (5) is valid and hence $\ell_m(f) = +\infty$.

Then $|\alpha_i| > 0$ and exists ρ ($0 < \rho < +\infty$) such that $0 < \rho < |\alpha_i|$ and hence

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\rho^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}(f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\rho^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} > 1,$$

here α is a unspecified point of C . From where it follows that $\Lambda = \Lambda(\rho) \subset N$ exists, such that if $n \in \Lambda$ the following inequality is valid

$$(8) \quad \rho^{nm} |\Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)| \geq \rho^{km} |\Delta_{k,m}((z - \alpha)f)|, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We denote φ the series

$$\varphi = \varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} f_n \alpha_i^n z^n$$

and we form the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{0,m}(\varphi) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{0,m}(\varphi)) \\ &= \alpha_i^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f) \cdot T_{n,m}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_{n,m} = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{\frac{\rho^{km} \Delta_{k,m}((z - \alpha)f) \cdot \rho^{nm}}{\rho^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f) \cdot \alpha_i^{nm}}}{\frac{\rho^{km} \Delta_{k,m}((z - \alpha)f)}{\Delta_{k,m}(\varphi)}} - \frac{\frac{\rho^{km} \Delta_{k,m}((z - \alpha)f) \cdot \rho^{nm}}{\rho^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f) \cdot \alpha_i^{nm}}}{\frac{\rho^{km} \Delta_{k,m}((z - \alpha)f)}{\Delta_{k,m}(\varphi)}} \right\}.$$

From where for $n \in \Lambda$, $\alpha \in C \setminus P$, $P = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} P_n$ considering the inequality (8) we have

$$(9) \quad |\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{0,m}(\varphi)| \leq \frac{|\alpha_i|^{nm}}{|\alpha_i|^m} |\Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)| \cdot T_{n,m}^*,$$

where

$$T_{n,m}^* = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{|\alpha_i|} \right)^{(n-k)m}}{\left| q_{k,m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi \right) \right|} + \frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{|\alpha_i|} \right)^{(n-k+1)m}}{\left| q_{k-1,m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi \right) \right|} \right].$$

We fix $n \in \Lambda$ and unspecified $\epsilon > 0$. For any $k=1,2,\dots,n-1$ we denote with $J_{k,\epsilon}$ the set consisting of ϵ/mk^2 -surroundings of the zeros of the polynomial $q_{k,m}(\alpha, f)$. We put $J_\epsilon^n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} J_{k,\epsilon}$. The sum of the diameters of the disks included in the set J_ϵ^n does not exceed the quantity $\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^2}$. Then a circumference γ_n with a centre in the point α_i and the radius r_n exists which is not cut with the set J_ϵ^n . Then for any $\alpha \in \gamma_n$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$(10) \quad |q_{k,m}(\alpha, f)| \geq c_1 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{mk^2} \right)^m = c_2 k^{-2m},$$

wher the quantities $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ don't depend on k (and on n).

Then from (9) using (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{0,m}(\varphi)| \leq \\ & \leq c_3 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m k^{2m} \left(\frac{\rho}{|\alpha_i|} \right)^{(n-k)m} \leq \\ & \leq c_3 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m k^{2m} \left(\frac{\rho}{|\alpha_i|} \right)^{km} \leq \\ & \leq c_3 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right| \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{2m} \left(\frac{\rho}{|\alpha_i|} \right)^{km} \leq \\ & \leq c_4 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right|, \quad \alpha \in \gamma_n, \quad n \in \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } |\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)| \leq c_5 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right|, \quad \alpha \in \gamma_n, \quad n \in \Lambda.$$

From the last inequality applying the maximum principle and using considering that

$$\left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right| \Rightarrow +\infty, \quad n \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \alpha \in \bigcup (\alpha_i, r_n)$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)}{\Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right)} \right| \leq c_6, \quad \alpha \in \bigcup (\alpha_i, r_n), \quad n \in \Lambda.$$

i.e.

$$\left| q_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi \right) \right|^{-1} \leq c_6, \quad \alpha \in \bigcup (\alpha_i, r_n), \quad n \in \Lambda.$$

But this is contrary to the condition (6), since the condition (6) satisfies then and only then, when

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} q_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi \right) = 0$$

when $\alpha = \alpha_i$.

The obtained contradiction prove that $R_0 > 0$.

Case 2. We shall prove that if the condition (6) is valid then $|\alpha_i| \geq R_0$.

From 1 it follows that $R_0 > 0$ and hence $R_0 \leq \ell_m^{-\frac{1}{m}}(f) \leq R_m$.

Let us presume that $|\alpha_i| < R_0$. Then ρ_1 ($0 < \rho_1 < +\infty$) exists such that we have

$$(11) \quad |\alpha_i| < \rho_1 < R_0 \leq \ell_m^{-\frac{1}{m}}(f)$$

and hence for every $\alpha \in C, \alpha$ is different from the poles of f in D_m we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\rho_1^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}(f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\rho_1^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1,$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_1^{nm} |\Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)| = 0$$

and $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1(\rho) \subset N$ exists such that when $n \in \Lambda_1$ the following inequality is valid

$$(12) \quad \rho_1^{nm} |\Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)| \geq \rho_1^{(n+j)m} |\Delta_{n+j,m}((z - \alpha)f)|, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, .$$

In that case using (11) when $n \in \Lambda_1$ the following

$$(13) \quad \left| \frac{\Delta_{n+j,m}(f)}{\Delta_{n,m}(f)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1^{jm}} < \frac{1}{|\alpha_i|^{jm}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

is valid also.

We denote φ the series

$$\varphi = \varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} f_n \alpha_i^n z^n$$

and we form the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n+k,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{n,m}(\varphi) &= \sum_{j=1}^k (\Delta_{n+j,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{n+j-1,m}(\varphi)) \\ &= \alpha_i^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z-\alpha)f) \cdot T_{k,m} , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_{k,m} = \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ \frac{\frac{\rho_1^{(n+j)m} \Delta_{n+j,m}((z-\alpha)f) \cdot \rho_1^{nm}}{\rho_1^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z-\alpha)f) \cdot \alpha_i^{nm}}}{\frac{\rho_1^{(n+j)m} \Delta_{n+j,m}((z-\alpha)f)}{\Delta_{n+j,m}(\varphi)}} - \frac{\frac{\rho_1^{(n+j-1)m} \Delta_{n+j-1,m}((z-\alpha)f) \cdot \rho_1^{nm}}{\rho_1^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z-\alpha)f) \cdot \alpha_i^{nm}}}{\frac{\rho_1^{(n+j-1)m} \Delta_{n+j-1,m}((z-\alpha)f)}{\Delta_{n+j-1,m}(\varphi)}}} \right\} .$$

From where for $n \in \Lambda$, $\alpha \in C \setminus P$, $P = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} P_n$ using the inequality (12) we obtain

$$(14) \quad |\Delta_{n+k,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)| \leq \frac{|\alpha_i|^{nm}}{|\alpha_i|^m} |\Delta_{n,m}((z-\alpha)f)| \cdot T_{k,m}^* ,$$

where

$$T_{k,m}^* = \sum_{j=1}^k \left[\frac{\left(\frac{|\alpha_i|}{\rho_1}\right)^{jm}}{\left|q_{n+j,m}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi\right)\right|} + \frac{\left(\frac{|\alpha_i|}{\rho_1}\right)^{(j-1)m}}{\left|q_{n+j-1,m}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi\right)\right|} \right] .$$

We fix $n \in \Lambda_1$ and unspecified $\epsilon > 0$. For any $j=1,2,\dots,k$ we denote with $J_{j,\epsilon}$ the set consisting of ϵ/mj^2 -surroundings of the zeros of the polynomial $q_{n+j,m}(\alpha, f)$. We put $J_\epsilon^k = \bigcup_{j=1}^k J_{j,\epsilon}$. The sum of the diameters of the disks included in the set J_ϵ^k does not exceed the quantity $\epsilon \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j^2}$. Then a circumference γ_k with a centre in the point α_i and the radius r_k exists which is not cut with the set J_ϵ^k and for any $\alpha \in \gamma_k$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ we have

$$(15) \quad |q_{n+j,m}(\alpha, f)| \geq c_1 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{mj^2}\right)^m = c_2 j^{-2m} ,$$

wher the quantities $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ don't depend on j (and on n).

Then from (14) using (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\Delta_{n+k,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)| \leq \\
 & \leq c_2 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right| \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k j^{2m} \left(\frac{|\alpha_i|}{\rho_1} \right)^{(j-1)m} \left[\left(\frac{|\alpha_i|}{\rho_1} \right)^m + 1 \right] \leq \\
 & \leq c_3 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right| \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k j^{2m} \left(\frac{|\alpha_i|}{\rho_1} \right)^{(j-1)m} \leq \\
 & \leq c_3 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right| \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} j^{2m} \left[\left(\frac{|\alpha_i|}{\rho_1} \right)^m \right]^{(j-1)} \leq \\
 & \leq c_4 \cdot \left| \Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right) \right|, \quad \alpha \in \gamma_k, \quad n \in \Lambda_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality we obtain that

$$\left| \frac{\Delta_{n+k,m}(\varphi)}{\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)} - 1 \right| \leq c_4 \cdot \left| \frac{\Delta_{n,m} \left(\left(z - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i} \right) \varphi \right)}{\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)} - 1 \right|, \quad \alpha \in \gamma_k, \quad n \in \Lambda_1.$$

From where using the maximum principle it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\Delta_{n+k,m}(\varphi)}{\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)} - 1 \right| \leq c_4 \cdot \left| q_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi \right) \right|, \quad \alpha \in \bigcup (\alpha_i, r_n), \quad n \in \Lambda_1.$$

Then from the condition (6) we obtain that for $\alpha = \alpha_i$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} q_{n,m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}, \varphi \right) = 0$$

and therefore hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Delta_{n+k,m}(\varphi)}{\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi)} = 1, \quad n \in \Lambda_1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

From where it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_i^{(n+k)m} \Delta_{n+k,m}(f)}{\alpha_i^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}(f)} = 1, \quad n \in \Lambda_1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

and this contradicts the inequality (13). The obtained contradiction prove that if the condition (6) is valid then $|\alpha_i| \geq R_0$.

Case 3. We shall prove that if (6) is valid then $|\alpha_i| \leq R_m$.

Let us presume that $|\alpha_i| > R_m$. Then ρ_2 ($0 < \rho_2 < +\infty$) exists such that

$$|\alpha_i| > \rho_2 > R_m \geq \ell_m^{-\frac{1}{m}}(f)$$

and hence for every $\alpha \in C$, α is different from the poles of f in D_m we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\rho_2^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}(f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\rho_2^{nm} \Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)|^{\frac{1}{n}} > 1 .$$

Then $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_2(\rho_2) \subset N$ exists such that for $n \in \Lambda_2$ the following inequality is valid

$$\rho_2^{nm} |\Delta_{n,m}((z - \alpha)f)| \geq \rho_2^{km} |\Delta_{k,m}((z - \alpha)f)| , k = 1, 2, \dots, n .$$

We denote φ the series

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} f_n \alpha_i^n z^n$$

and we form the difference

$$\Delta_{n,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{0,m}(\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\Delta_{k,m}(\varphi) - \Delta_{k-1,m}(\varphi)) .$$

We reform this difference the same way as in 1 and through analogous to accomplished there arguments we reach to contradiction. The obtained contradiction prove that if the condition (6) is valid then $|\alpha_i| \leq R_m$. The prove of the theorem is complete. \square

Analogous questions when the convergence in (6) is geometric are considered in [3] and when the convergence is unspecified in [2] and [5]. Suggested here method for solving the problem is different from that in previous works and it gives more information.

References

- [1] G.A.Baker Jr, P.Graves-Morris, *Padé approximants*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1981.

- [2] V. Buslaev, *On the poles of the m -row of the Padé Table*, (in Russian) Math. Sb., vol. 117/159/, 4, 1982, 435-441.
- [3] A. Gonchar, *The poles of the row of the Pade Table and the meromorphic continuation of the function*, (in Russian) Math. Sb., vol. 115/157/, 4/8/, 1981, 590-613.
- [4] J. Hadamard, *Essai sur l'étude des fonctions données par leur développement de Taylor*, J. de Math. pures et appl., (4) 8, 1892, 101-186.
- [5] S. Syetin, *On the poles of the m -row of the Padé Table*, (in Russian) Math. Sb., vol. 120/162/, 4, 1983, 500-504.
- [6] V. Vavilov, G. Lopes and V. Prohorov, *On the problem inverse of the row of the Padé Table*, (in Russian) Math. Sb., vol. 110/152/, 1/9/, 1979, 117-127.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
University of Plovdiv
24 Tzar Assen Str.,
Plovdiv 4000, Bulgaria
E-mail: pkyankov@pu.acad.bg

Received 15 April 2006

МЕРОМОРФНА ПРОДЪЛЖИМОСТ НА СТЕПЕННИ РЕДОВЕ

Петър Янков

Резюме. В работата, посредством специално дефиниран чрез коефициентите на Тейлоров ред полином $q_{n,m}(\alpha)$, се доказва твърдение, даващо възможност да се прави преценка за мероморфна продължимост на степенни редове.